







RICARDO

Support to Local Authorities

At Ricardo we have a dedicated team of specialists and look forward to helping you with any of your air quality challenges:

Air quality services :

- ISO 17025 accredited QA/QC audits required by LAQM TG (22)
- · Data management, data collection, checking, validation, ratification
- Local site operations, calibrations/call outs
- · Web reporting for example : Air quality in England (airqualityengland.co.uk)
- · Routine data reporting weekly, monthly, quarterly, annual for example : AQE Monthly Report (airqualityengland.co.uk)
- Short term monitoring surveys (site installation/decommissioning through to reporting)
- · Long term station hire
- · Advice on station installation, analyser procurement and, best practice

- · Low cost sensor measurements, network management
- Diffusion tube surveys

Other services :

- · Expert Witness and Expert Advice
- · LA-PPC/IPPC permit support
- · Odour nuisance support
- · Air Quality Modelling
- **Real world vehicle emissions monitoring** aiding Action Planning
- **Mobile Monitoring** • for point source and concentration contour mapping
- Air quality forecasting and public dissemination (via sms text, email, web, social media etc.)
- · LAQM TG (22) Annual Status Reporting (ASR), Detailed Assessment
- CAZ/LEZ consultancy

For further information please get in touch with David Madle 07968707279 david.madle@ricardo.com



















RICARDO EMAQ+

EMAQ - Essentials of PPC 4

Note on Scope of Today's Course

- The webinars in "The Essentials of PPC" course cover a very wide subject area and although the most important permitting related issues are addressed by the content of the webinars there may be issues on which delegates require more detail.
- For general questions concerning the course or administration of the webinars, please email EMAQ.
- For specific queries concerning LA PPC, please take the opportunity to raise these issues with the presenter via an email to EMAQ
- EMAQ provide more detailed Advanced Technical webinars and seminars which build on the knowledge gained from the Essentials of PPC course, please see the annual training programme on the EMAQ web site for further details of what further advanced training is available.
- Thank you for supporting EMAQ, we hope that you enjoy your training.







Contents

1

- Introduction to Monitoring, Sampling and Relevant Guidance.
- Common Features and Requirements for Emission Sampling Systems.
- Outline of Measurement Steps and Parameters.
- Monitoring techniques for each category.
- Outline of Computations and Correction Steps.
- More Complex Extractive Manual Sampling Systems (dioxins and VOC).
- Emission monitoring standards.
- MCERTS.
- Permit condition examples.



RICARDO EMAQ+



















Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Air



Common Features and Requirements for Emission Sampling Systems

In Manual methods, the sampled target species is extracted / sampled periodically. It is analysed later, and is collected:

- On to a weighed filter (for particulate species) with later gravimetric or chemical analysis of the collected sample; or
- Into a suitable chemical absorbing solution for gaseous / vaporous species (after filtering out any particulates). Chemical / physical analysis of the recovered sample is carried out later;
- Adsorbed on to a suitable solid substrate (for some gaseous / vaporous species), with later de-sorption and analysis.



© Ricardo



© Ricardo































































mission Monitoring Standards (Selected Examples)					
Compound	Standard Number	Description	MID		
Alternate reference method procedure	CEN/TS 14793	Intralaboratory validation procedure for an alternative method compared to a reference method			
Asbestos	BS 6069-4.2	Method for the determination of asbestos plant emissions by fibre count measurement			
Calibration of CEMS	BS EN 14181	Quality assurance of an AMS	MID 14181 (TGN M20)		
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	BS EN 15058	Determination of the mass concentration of carbon monoxide (CO). Reference method: non-dispersive infrared spectrometry			
CEMS sampling	BS ISO 10396	Sampling for the automated determination of gas emission concentrations for permanently-installed monitoring systems			
Dioxin 1 sampling	BS EN 1948-1	Determination of the mass concentration of PCDDs/PCDFs and dioxin-like PCBs. Sampling of PCDDs/PCDFs	MID 1948		
Dioxin 2 extraction	BS EN 1948-2	Determination of the mass concentration of PCDDs/PCDFs and dioxin-like PCBs. Extraction and clean-up of PCDDs/PCDFs	MID 1948		
Dioxin 3 quantification	BS EN 1948-3	Determination of the mass concentration of PCDDs/PCDFs and dioxin-like PCBs. Identification and quantification of PCDDs/PCDFs	MID 1948		
Dioxin 4 PCB sampling and analysis	BS EN 1948-4	Determination of the mass concentration of PCDDs/PCDFs and dioxin-like PCBs. Sampling and analysis of dioxin-like PCBs			

Emission Manitaring Claude		
Emission wonitoring Standa		
W GOV.OK		
Home > Business and industry > Business and the environment	🍅 GOV.UK	↓ ∽ Menu │ Q
Guidance	Home > Business and industry > Business and the environment	
Monitoring stack emissions: techniques and standards for periodic monitoring This index will help you choose an appropriate periodic monitoring technique and standard for monitoring stack	Guidance Monitoring stack emissions: techniques and standards for CEMS and automated batch samplers	
imissions to air (formerly part of M2).	Formerly part of M2, index of techniques for measuring substances using continuous emissions monitoring systems (CEMS) and standards for automated batch samplers.	
vausine is becember 2019 ast updated 17 November 2022 — <u>See all updates</u>	From: Environment Agency Published 18 December 2019	























Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Air						
Organic compounds	50 mg/m ³	Quantitative	Annual test			
Nitrogen oxides	400 mg/m ³	Quantitative	On commissioning and after substantial change			
Carbon monoxide	No limit	Quantitative	Continuous and annual test			
Particulate matter	150 mg/m ³	Quantitative	Continuous and annual test			
Oxygen	No limit	Quantitative	Continuous and record			

Monitoring and	EMAQ+	
Table 2 – Emiss	sion Measurement Methods	
Substance	Measurement method	
Organic compounds	BS EN 12619	
Nitrogen oxides	BS EN 14792	
Carbon monoxide	BS EN 15058	
Particulate matter	BS ISO 9096	
Oxygen	BS EN 14789	12



on the understanding it is

purpose of an



Session 2: Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Water





- There are variants to deal with discharges to:
 - River;
 - Estuary;
 - Coastal waters.
- These also take into account whether discharge is via sewage treatment works.






The Operator's Role









RICARDO

FMAQ+



Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Water

Monitoring and Modelling of Pollutants

 Guidance on monitoring water pollutants is given on .GOV.UK (formerly in the EA's M18 "Monitoring of Discharges to Water and Sewer")
 Monitoring discharges to water: guidance on selecting a monitoring approach - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)

Guidance defines:

- Quality criterion that should be applied to pollutant monitoring covered by MCERTS scheme.
- The international standard methods that should be used:
 - Standard methods required by relevant EU Directives;
 - CEN standard for the relevant pollutant or parameter;
 - ISO standards;
 - National standards (SCA blue books + BSI).
- Validation methodology generally carried out by the test house providing the service linearity, calibration, uncertainty, interference, field of application etc].
- Sampling approaches continuous or periodic.
- Test report requirements.



- Discharge sample points must be at a location that ensures that the sample is **truly representative** of the discharge. For example, a sampling position in a pipe or channel must be sufficiently far downstream of the last inflow that mixing of the two streams is complete.
- Samples at an outfall should be taken from regions of high turbulence and good mixing, usually at the centre of the discharge. Solid materials will have little chance to settle out here.
- Samples in channels should be collected away from the sides and bottom of the channel to avoid contamination of the sample with sediment and biological growths.
- When sampling from chambers (e.g. manholes), it is necessary to ensure that measures are taken to avoid contamination of the sample by the disturbance of deposits from the cover when the cover is lifted and to prevent contamination of the sample from the chamber walls and any bottom deposits.
- When **automatic samplers** are employed for **composite sampling** care should be taken to ensure the sample probe is deployed taking the above factors into consideration. Also it is important to ensure that the probe remains in the effluent flow during the entire period each sample is being taken i.e. variations in effluent flow should not result in the sample probe being left dangling in the air or in contact with the bottom of the channel.

Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Water



Sampling Techniques

Care should be taken to avoid sample degradation. Online guidance (formerly in M18) sets out examples of best practice for sample preservation:

Determinand	Preservation	Notes
Ammonia (total)	Keep in the dark and cool at 5±3°C, target timescale for delivery to lab within 24hrs.	Samples with low concentrations should be analysed immediately. If acidified to pH 1 to 2 with sulfuric acid can be stored at < 5°C for 21 days.
BOD	Keep in the dark at 5±3°C, deliver to lab within 24hrs.	For best results start analysis within 24 hrs of sampling. If using a cooled composite sample, ensure analysis starts within 48 hrs of first aliquot.
COD	Keep in the dark, deliver to lab within 24hrs.	If unable to analyse immediately, stabilise with sulfuric acid to pH <2 and analyse within 6 months.
Cyanide	Sodium hydroxide, ensure pH >12 and keep cool in the dark.	Analyse within 14 days.
Sulfide	Sodium carbonate and zinc acetate.	Analyse within 7 days.
Suspended Solids	Cool to 5±3°C.	Analyse within 2 days of sampling.
Mercury	Potassium dichromate and nitric acid.	Alternative preservation may be employed if specified in laboratory method.
Metals	If analysing dissolved fraction Immediate on- site filtration may be required.	Ensure that any material precipitated after filtration is re- dissolved in the laboratory.
Phenols by GC	Acidify with sulfuric acid to pH <4, use amber bottles.	Analyse within 21 days.
Phenols (colorimetric)	Phosphoric acid to pH <4.	Analyse within 21 days.
тос	Acidify to pH 1 to 2 with sulfuric or phosphoric acid. Keep in the dark, at 5±3°C deliver to lab within 24hrs.	Analyse within 7 days. If samples can loses volatile components on acidification, then keep cooled and analyse immediately.
VOCs (volatile organic compounds)	Keep in the dark at 5±3°C at all times. Use appropriate sampling vials.	Analyse within 24hrs. If samples acidified to pH 1 to 2 with nitric or sulfuric acid, they are stable for 7 days.



Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Water



Analytical Methods

Test methods covering the common pollutants. See .GOV.UK, example page below.

https://www.gov.uk/government/publi cations/monitoring-discharges-towater-cen-and-iso-monitoringmethods/monitoring-discharges-towater-cen-and-iso-monitoringmethods





	TABLE 1: WAVELE	ENGTHS, ESTIMATED IN	STRUMENT DETECTION	LIMITS,
nalytical Methods	Analyte	Wavelength (nm)	Estimated Detection Limit (pg/L)	Calibrate tp (mg/L)
letallic Species that can be	Aluminum	308.215 206.833	45.0 32.0	10 5
nalysed by ICPAES	Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron	193.759 493.409 313.042 249.678	53.0 2.3 0.27 5.7	10 1 1
oints to consider in selection test nethod:	Calcium Calcium Cerium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lood	243.676 226.502 315.887 413.765 205.552 228.616 324.754 259.940 290.952	3.4 30.0 48.0 6.1 7.0 5.4 6.2 42.0	2 10 2 5 2 2 10
Upper and lower limit of detection; Linearity; Interference – in example method due to	Laad Lihtium Magnesium Manganese Mercury Molybdenum Nickel Phosphorus Potassium	270.784 279.079 257.610 194.227 203.844 231.604 214.914 766.491	42.0 3.7d 30.0 1.4 2.5 12.0 15.0 76.0 7000	10 2 2 10 2 10 20 20
spectral interferences are caused by background emission from continuous or recombination phenomena, stray light from the	Selectual Silica (SiO2) Silver Sodium StronCum Thallium Tin Tin	251.611 328.068 588.995 421.552 190.864 189.980 334.941	75.0 26 ⁴ (SiO2) 7.0 29.0 0.77 40 25 3.8	5 10 0.5 10 1 5 4
line emission of high concentration elements	Vanadium	292.402	7.5	2





Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Water

Measuring Water Flow

- When considering water emissions it is important to know what the flow rate is.
- The H1 procedure for water is based on the mass of pollutant emitted [Mass Emission (mg/s) = Conc. (mg/m³) x flow (m³/s)].
- Dry weather flow is particularly important, i.e. the baseline flow that arises when there has been no rain. During dry weather the receiving water is also likely to have a low flow, therefore the mass contribution from the process to the receiving water is likely to be greatest due to the reduced dilution factor.

EMAQ+





Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Water



Regulation 59 EP Regs Notice – Prepared by Environment Agency

Permit conditions for releases to water from Part A(2) installations or mobile plant

To: West Midlands Borough Council

The Environment Agency considers that the following emission limit values or conditions are necessary for permit XYZ for Foundry X in order to prevent or reduce emissions into water.

Effluent emissions from final point of discharge to water serving the emission points to water shall consist only of trade effluent, namely compressor cooling water, wet cyclone dust arrestor effluent, cast cooling water and site drainage. The nature and composition of the effluent shall be such that it does not exceed the following concentrations of the substances and chemicals:

Rate of Discharge -	Not to exceed 50m ³ dry weather flow in any 24 hour period
Temperature of Discharge -	Shall not exceed 25°C
pH of Discharge -	To fall within the range pH 5 to pH 9
BOD -	25 mg/litre
Total hydrocarbon oil (THC)	5 mg/litre
Total suspended solids (TSS)	45 mg/litre
Ammoniacal nitrogen expressed as N (N)	15 mg/litre
Dissolved iron (Fe)	10 mg/litre
Zinc (Zn)	500µg/litre
Chromium (Cr)	100µg/litre
Copper (Cu)	100µg/litre
Lead (Pb)	100µg/litre
Nickol (Nii)	100ug/litre

RICARDO



All effluents are controlled, as a minimum, to avoid a breach of water quality standards. The Environment Agency or the regulator may require monitoring, calculations and/or modelling to demonstrate this, where the discharge is to controlled waters. All monitoring to be carried out using methods to be agreed in writing by the regulator.













Session 3: Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Land This material is provided on the understanding it is for self-study/training purposes only and may not be copied, stored, transmitted or displayed for the purpose of any trade or business.













Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Land

Nature of Releases to Land

- Accidental releases to land from raw materials, product, wastes or residues from the permitted activity, and the consequence, e.g. fire fighting water run-off.
- Surface or foul water drainage can cause release to land as well as run-off from hardstanding or roofed areas, especially where no interception is provided.
- In addition, abatement technologies for air and water discharges can generate pollutant and reagent materials which could be released to land.
- Contamination of the land can be a problem in itself or as a reservoir of polluting potential for groundwater and the wider aquatic environment.



RICARDO EMAQ+

© ShutterStock. Image available here







RICARDO

EMAQ+

Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Land

Baseline Site Report

- The site report should cover all of the land on which any of the permitted activities may take place. Note that if an A(2) permitted activity is extended a site report for the additional land is needed as a part of the permit variation procedure.
- The site report will reflect the complexity of the activity and site specific conditions of the site.
- A phased approach is commonly adopted with an initial desk study followed as necessary by more detailed work (up to intrusive sampling surveys of the site).
- Intrusive site investigation is normally a last resort. It is costly, time consuming and may result on the contamination of the surface of the land which was not contaminated previously!

















Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Land							
Site Operation / Plan Reference	Substance	Relevant Activity	Potential for pollution from the activity	Pollution Prevention Measures	Nature of primary containment	Testing & Inspection of primary containment	Nature of secondary containment
1 Fuel In	Wood waste	Delivery from XX Ltd to fuel storage silo	Failure of containment leading to increased organic matter in surface water run-off	Yes	Heavy Duty Vehicle	Inspected daily as part of the maintenance procedures	Covering placed over each heavy duty vehicle load
2 Walking Floor	Wood waste	Feeding of fuel into combustion chambers	Failure of containment leading to increased organic matter in surface water	Yes	Automatic screw conveyor	Inspected on a daily basis as part of the daily routine and during working observations	Covered area surrounding the conveyor, concrete hardstanding
3 Burner 1 and auxiliary Burner 2 (8MW)	Wood waste (with addition of diesel)	Combustion of fuel at 850°C	Failure of containment leading to spillage to land and internal drainage	Yes	Burner	Inspected on a daily basis as part of the maintenance procedure	Burners situated on concrete hardstanding
4 Hoppers	Grate ash	Collection of grate ash from burners 1 and 2 above	Failure of containment leading to spillage to land and collection in drainage channels	Yes	Covered bins that are wetted and sealed	Inspected on a daily basis as part of the maintenance procedure	Situated on concrete hardstanding
5 Waste ash skip	Grate ash	Collection and storage of grate ash from hoppers under burners 1 and 2, and fly ash from incinerator exhaust gases, hydrated lime from the APCR	Failure of containment leading to spillage to land, including during replacement with new skip	Yes	Covered bins that are wetted and sealed	Inspected on a daily basis as part of the maintenance procedure	Situated on concrete hardstanding

Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Land



Extracts from a Site Report - Assessment of Land Pollution Potential

Potential Source	Pathway	Receptor
Chemicals used and stored on	Spillage during filling,	Soils below the site
the site (numerous see Table 2)	replacement or from poor	Perched water in made ground
	storage container conditions	Plant workers
Diesel	Spillage during filling/use, failure	Soils below the site
	of containment	Perched water in made ground
		Plant workers
Waters at high temperatures	Spillage from boilers or	Surface waters
should there be a failure of	condensers	Perched water in made ground
containment		Plant workers
Overground conveyor systems	Failure to contain the biomass	Perched water in made ground
with waste biomass	leading to potential increase	
	organic matter in surface water	
	run off	
Contamination on land	Migration in surface water runoff	Soils below the site
	Migration to soils at depth	Perched water in made ground
	Migration to perched water	
	Migration to groundwater	

21

Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Land



Extracts from a Site Report - Preventative Measures

Source and migration	Receptor	Preventative measures	Risk
Chemical use or storage spill to land	Soil Surface water Groundwater Plant workers	Automatic leak detection Controlled process (pressure, levels, valves) Bunding where appropriate New kerbed hardstanding to be put in the building Drainage (contained and controlled) to sewer connection	LOW
Diesel	Soil Surface water Groundwater Plant workers	Bunded storage tank New kerbed hardstanding to be put in the building Drainage (contained and controlled) to sewer connection	LOW
Waters at high temperature	Surface waters Groundwater Plant workers	Appropriate bunding around boilers and condensers Daily inspections	LOW
Overground conveyor systems with waste biomass	Surface water Groundwater	Daily inspection of process areas Controlled management of clearance procedures and daily cleaning to ensure reduced biomass content in surface water	LOW



Sampling, Analysis and Reporting

Where testing is required what can you expect to see before sampling is carried out:

- Sampling objectives;
- Justification of targeted or non-targeted sampling "Mercury" or "metals suit";
- Locations and depths to be sampled plans and cross sections of bore holes;
- Media to be sampled gases, soils, water, vegetation, etc;
- Sampling protocols solids/liquids, sample volumes, collection method;
- Sample storage, preservation and transport;
- Sample treatment filtering, grinding, sieving, drying etc;
- Analytical methods to be applied spectrometry, etc;
- Reporting arrangements / time period;
- To be undertaken to MCERTS or other standard?
- Discuss the proposed sampling campaign with your contaminated land team.





Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Land



Sampling, Analysis and Reporting

Intrusive Investigation 3 – Excavated core samples from window sampling



27

Monitoring and Sampling Techniques for Land



Sampling, Analysis and Reporting

Intrusive Investigation 4 – Cable percussion rig











Essentials of PPC 4

Session 4: Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation

This material is provided on the understanding it is for self-study/training purposes only and may not be copied, stored, transmitted or displayed for the purpose of any trade or business.

> **RICARDO** EMAQ+

Ô



Contents

Introduction

Energy efficiency:

- Overview;
- Operator requirements, BAT;
- Example Energy efficiency permit conditions for a part A(2) activity;
- Example Energy Plan;
- Energy efficiency compliance monitoring.

Resource use and waste minimisation:

- Overview;
- Operator requirements;
- Example Resource efficiency: alternative materials;
- Example Resource efficiency: compliance monitoring.

Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation Introduction Resource efficiency is all about managing raw materials, energy and water in order to minimise waste and thereby reduce cost and environmental impact. Resource efficiency saves money and make a business more competitive, particularly with growing supply chain pressure to demonstrate reduced environmental impact: 2% of annual profit lost through inefficient management of energy, water and waste; 4% of turnover is spent on waste [CBI stats].



Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation



Energy Efficiency - Overview

- All industrial, commercial, transport and domestic activities consume energy, and release greater or lesser amounts (normally as heat) to the environment.
- For industrial activities, the direct release of heat pollution may be substantial. It can affect the local environment and contribute more widely to **raising global temperatures**.

For example, the release of hot flue gases from permitted activities associated with combustion, (such as glass making, foundries, minerals drying, etc), can release significant amounts of both direct and radiant heat to the environment. Also, the release of hot cooling waters into streams and rivers can have significant effects on aquatic life.

• However, the main concern associated with energy use arises from climate impacts from the combustion of fossil fuels regulated in Part A(2) permitted activities by the requirements of Climate Change Agreements (See Essentials of PPC 3 webinar).



Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste RICARDO EMAQ+ Minimisation **Operator Requirements** Heat Recovery As part of BAT compliance an operator is expected to do Exhaust air 15530 kW (37 %) Machine room heating 11550 kW (27 %) the following: Produce an annual report on energy consumption for the Fress water permitted activity; 7820 kW (19 %) Monitor energy flows and target areas for reduction and Wire pit water 4780 kW (11 %) update annually. Sankey diagrams and energy balances 0.160 kg H.O/kg d.a would be useful aids; Supply air 2580 kW (6 %) Heat losses 800 kW · Ensure all plant is operated and maintained to minimise Paper web 1650 kW +90 °C (91 % dry the use of and loss of energy; Dryer section 11.6 kg H₂O/s · Ensure that all appropriate containment methods (e.g. Paper web 3360 kW +50 °C (44,5 % content insulation, seals and self closing doors) are employed and maintained to minimise energy loss; 9950 kW 5280 kW +28 °C ş · Adhere to the specific energy efficiency requirements in the permit for the activity. 1180 kW 5130 kW 37440 kW Leakage air +20 °C Condensate Steam +150 °C 13.6 kg/s +90 °C © Ricardo





Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation



Example – Energy Efficiency Permit Conditions for a Part A(2) Activity SG4 Ferrous Foundry

Condition	Compliance checks for regulator
A. All raw materials to be used in the electric furnaces shall be kept covered so as to prevent water ingress into the material, for 24 hrs prior to use, as far as is practicable.	A. Physically check where material is stored – this storage requirement is designed to reduce energy expenditure on driving off moisture unnecessarily.
 B. The operator shall ensure that the appropriate measures have been implemented to ensure the required quality of metal is produced in the most energy efficient manner. Special attention shall be given to melt temperature control, i.e. avoidance of superheating metal. The operator shall be required to take temperature readings from every ladle of metal to ensure that temperature control is optimised. The optimum temperatures for casting metal are between 1450 to 1500 degrees Celsius (but can vary between process and product). The operator shall record any temperature readings noted to be outside this range. All such information shall be retained by the operator and kept with the log book. Thereafter, the operator shall ensure, as far as is reasonably practicable, that the optimum temperature for melting of iron is maintained whilst the electric furnaces are operational. 	B. Site operating procedures detailing the heating profile for key materials processed on site? Is the procedure reasonable? Is it being applied? Do records show that the procedure is in use? Continuous monitoring of temperature available for inspection?

Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation



Example – Energy Efficiency Permit Conditions for a Part A(2) Activity

Condition	Compliance checks for regulator
C. Where gas-fired heating systems are used for core or mould making or other activities within the installation, particular attention shall be paid to good cleaning and maintenance of burner systems.	C. Site operating procedures detailing how and when cleaning and maintenance is carried out? Do Log book records show when this was carried out?
D. The operator shall ensure that all plant listed in permit is operated and maintained to optimise the use and minimise the loss of energy.	D. Does the site have operating maintenance procedures? Do records show that these have been complied with?
E. The operator shall produce an annual report on the energy consumption of the installation. The report shall monitor energy usage for the installation and identify target areas for reduction and shall be updated annually.	E. This information is probably required by the CCA, but if not, should address the data required in condition F.
Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste RICARDO EMAQ+ **Minimisation** Example – Energy Efficiency Permit Conditions for a Part A(2) Activity Condition Compliance checks for regulator F. The operator shall calculate the following indicators of energy F. Most companies will have a CCA and this additional efficiency performance expressed as a ratio: requirement will be easy to calculate. This provides a ratio of Prime energy v good tonnes produced (SEC). energy used to production and is therefore reproducible year on • • Electricity v good tonnes produced. year as a measure of performance. Natural gas v good tonnes produced. • Have regard to baseline energy use data, this may be high for some All such information shall be retained by the operator and kept industries such as metal melting, energy efficiency improves with with the log book. Where any of the above parameters are not volume of production. specifically monitored, arrangements shall be made to undertake monitoring of the use of the energy source within 8 weeks of issue of this permit.

11

Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation



Example – Energy Efficiency Permit Conditions for a Part A(2) Activity

Condition	Compliance checks for regulator
G. In respect of energy efficiency, the operator shall meet the requirements of either:	G. Legal requirement for some A2 sectors (notably metal melting sectors) to have either CCA or DPA.
(i) Climate Change Agreement (CCA), or(ii) Direct Participation Agreement (DPA);	conformance to demonstrate compliance (CCA are checked by other agencies).
in addition to the requirements of conditions A to F (above).	However, it is key to demonstrating BAT that the baseline energy requirements from the guidance as interpreted into the permit as compliance conditions
Where neither (i) nor (ii) above are complied with the operator shall notify the regulator immediately.	are being actively and consistently complied with.



Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation



Example – Energy Plan

The following table provides data on the output of casting in the Base Year (1999), together with the energy usage, to provide an average specific energy consumption for the base year (BY). To meet the CCA 2010 Target, a reduction in SEC of about 16% will be required.

Specific energy consumption								
Output of good casting	Electricity usage (delivered)	Gas usage	Fuel oil usage	Total primary energy usage	SEC			
tonnes	kWh	kWh	kWh	kWh	kWh(P)/te			
6685.1	16,364,219	3,666,069	28,032	46,241,070	6,917			
A typical SEC	for this type of	f foundry in BY	was about 6.10	0 kWh(P)/te				

Clearly, to meet the full CCA target by MY1(2002), instead of MY5 (2010), then a major energy improvement plan would have been required!



RICARDO

1

EMAQ+

Energy Plan		
Process	Meter	ed energy (1999)
	kWh	% of total electrical energy
Melting furnaces	8,913,356	54.5
Auto-pour furnace	1,032,389	6.3
Heat treatment furnaces Excel Top Hat furnaces Salem batch furnace	414780 236005	2.5 1.4
Total	10,596,530	64.8
	Est	imated energy
	Estimated energy	% of total electrical energy
Compressed air	628,789	3.8
Lighting	263,953	1.6
Total	892,731	
Grand total	11,489,271	70.2
Total electrical energy usage	16,364,219	~30% not accounted for*

17

Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation

Example – Energy Plan Energy Saving Opportunities: Foundry

- 1. Low cost /no cost opportunities:
 - Reduction in melting energy consumption by more efficient working;
 - Modification of heat treatment cycles and associated reduction through metal charge make-up;
 - Compressed air leak reduction and installation of ducting to draw air from outside the building;
 - Improvement to yield;
 - Introduction of a formal Energy Management system.

RICARDO

FMAQ+



19

Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation

Example – Energy Plan

Energy Saving Opportunities: Foundry

Example appraisal of energy saving options – compressed air supply:

- Site uses a large compressor (Atlas Copco GA110) which operates 24/7 for 47 wks/yr and is supplemented by a CompAir RA60 operating for 13 hr/day, 5½ day/wk, 47 wks/yr. Compressors provide air for moulding and core machines and air for the Fischer Converter gas pre-heater.
- Foundry operates from 6.45am to 6pm and only has small air demand outside these hours for core machines which operate on a 3 shift system.

	n Fooray P	lon				
	nergy P	Current	position			
Compressor	Rating	Operational hours	kWh on-load	kWh off-load	Total kWh	
GA110 RA60	110 kwh 37.3kwh	7896 3360	512,450 25,065	71,222 20,052	583,672 45,117	
Total energy of	consumption: 6	28,789 kWh (3.	1% of total ene	rgy consumptio	on)	
GA110: 12h RA60: 24hr	nrs/day (6an s/day (6am	Revised n-6pm) 5½ da 6pm) 7 day/v	position ay/wk, 47 wk wk, 47 wks/y	s/yr r		
Compressor	Rating	Operational hours	kWh on-load	kWh off-load	Total kWh	
GA110 RA60	110 kwh 37.3kwh	3102 7896	99,396 206,164	47,770 17,671	147,166 223,835	
						2







Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation



RICARDO

FMAQ+

Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation - Overview

- The IED requires that waste production is avoided; 'where waste is generated it is recovered or, where that is technically and economically impossible, it is disposed of while avoiding or reducing any impact on the environment.'
- Permit applications should describe measures for the prevention and recovery of waste.
- Waste minimisation seeks to avoid inefficient use of raw materials and other substances at a permitted activity.
- A variety of techniques can be classified under the term waste minimisation, including:
 - Basic housekeeping, measurements and audits and the application of clean technologies.

25

Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation

Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation - Overview

- A consequence of waste minimisation will be the reduction of:
 - Gaseous emissions (solvents, fumes, dust, odour, etc);
 - Liquid (waste water);
 - Solid emissions (direct and indirect process wastes);
 - Heat from production;
 - Transport emissions associated with delivery of raw materials and disposal of waste.
- The operator will also be able to reduce expenditure due to the successful implementation of waste minimisation measures, thereby making the business more viable to operate.

RICARDO



Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste

Minimisation

Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation



Operators are required to:

Example Conditions on Resource Usage

Condition	Compliance Checks for Regulator
The operator shall (cont): •Undertake to complete any long term studies needed into the less polluting options and make any material substitutions identified within the review period. Such studies will be identified as and when required by the regulator and requested in writing. All information required by this condition shall be submitted to the regulator annually, or where such information is requested every four years from the date of issue of the permit as may be required for long term studies.	Where new technology arises, requirement to consider the options, and, where cost is an issue for not implementing, supply cost-benefit-analysis data to justify position.
All such information shall be retained by the operator and kept with the log book.	

29

Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation



Operators are required to:

Example Conditions on Resource Usage

Condition	Compliance Checks for Regulator
 The operator shall calculate the following indicators of waste minimisation performance expressed as a ratio: a) tonnes of iron material melted v tonnes of good product b) tonnes of returned iron v tonnes of good product c) tonnes of sand consumed v tonnes good product d) tonnes of towns water consumed in the operations v tonnes of good product. Additionally, the operator shall calculate the amount of water recycled expressed as a percentage of total water usage in the operations. e) tonnes of waste sand v tonnes of good product All such information shall be retained by the operator and kept with the log book. Where any of the above parameters are not specifically monitored, arrangements shall be made to undertake monitoring of the use of the material within 8 weeks of issue of this permit. 	This condition provides a ratio of raw material and waste linked to production and is therefore reproducible year on year as a measure of performance. Have regard to baseline energy use data, this may be high for some industries such as metal melting, energy efficiency improves with volume of production.

RICARDO EMAQ+

Energy Effici Minimisation	e d	RICARDO EMAQ+							
Operators are required to: Example Raw Material Inventory									
Туре	Item	2007	2008	Waste %age					
Ferrous Scrap	Heavy Duty Scrap DDQ Steel Punchings	997670 Kgs 1721708 Kgs	1499590 Kgs 1639130 Kgs	0.2% 0.01%					
Pig Iron and Ferro-Alloys	SG Pig Iron	251900 Kgs	388380 Kgs	0.3%					
	Ferro Silicon Briquettes	24397 Kgs	9332 Kgs	0%					
	Ferro Manganese Briquettes	14366 Kgs	14166 Kgs	0%					
	Iron Sulphide	1000 Kgs	2000 Kgs	0%					
	Ferro Silicon	37000 Kgs	39000 Kgs	0%					
	Copper Granules	4000 Kgs 7753 Kgs	9831 Kgs	0%					
	3 – 9mm Premco (Recarb)	67280 Kgs	35000 Kgs	0%					
	0.25 – 4mm Premco (Recarb)	01200 Hg0	30000 Kgs	0%					
	Koag 5	5000 Kgs	6000 Kgs	0%					
	Ferrux 740 (Slag Coaguint Cupola)	1500 Kgs	2000 Kgs	0%					
Limestone	Limestone	108580 Kgs	131960 Kgs	1.1%					
Coal and Coke	Coke (Czechoslovakian)	499040 Kgs	643580 Kgs	1.2%					
	Coke (Chinese)	12140 Kgs	-	-					
Nodularisation Materials	Ferro Silicon Magnesium Alloys								
	Elmag 6113	20000 Kgs	30000 Kgs	0%					
	Ertalloy IM6	9000 Kgs							
Slag (offsite recovery)		23792 Kgs	22662 Kgs	36%					
Sand	Greensand	1444500 Kgs	1261995 Kgs	94%					

31

Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation

Resource Efficiency and Waste Minimisation – Example – Alternative Materials

Energy Efficiency, Resource Efficiency and Waste RICARDO **Minimisation** EMAQ+ **Example – Resource Efficiency Review of Alternative Materials** Suppliers are reluctant to develop new technology/products for a reducing foundry market in this country when they can supply existing technology to the developing markets in the far east (China and India). The Airset catalysts have varied during the year to take into account weather influences on the process (Setting times). The company is also investigating resins and catalysts with a lower sulphur content Ferban 200 clay was substituted by a blended clay Ferban 70/30 to reduce clay additions but improve dry strength (improve strength when casting). Coal dust replacement is a direct replacement for previous materials, but with lower volatiles to meet volatile additions regulations. Shell moulding techniques replaced by air set cores for key lines, reduced use of raw materials and no fume or odour emissions.







This material is provided on the understanding it is for self-study/training purposes only and may r be copied, stored, transmitted or displayed for the purpose of any



Essentials of PPC 4 Session 5: Noise and Odour Monitorin

Compliance





Noise and Odour Monitoring and Compliance

Guidance

- Originally H3 "Horizontal Guidance for Noise" was used to inform noise control at Part A(2)activities, it was separated into 2 parts, both now withdrawn / superseded.
- H3, Part 2 Noise Assessment and Control -• now superseded by online guidance :Noise and vibration management: Environmental permits https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/nois e-and-vibration-management-environmentalpermits.
- Noise and vibration management: Environmental • permits updated on 31/01/22.



RICARDO

EMAQ+

- Agency Horizontal Guidance for Noise (H3) parts 1 and 2 ance on the control of noise at PPC installations

Environmental Permits	GDP GOV.UK v New long > hotess and that environment > News and startion management, environment permit
NOT written for Local Authorities, but relevant guidance for LA-IPPC / Part A(2) permits.	Rency Agency
 How the environment agencies will assess noise from certain industrial activities; 	Guidance Noise and vibration management: environmental permits
 What the law says an operator must do to manage noise and vibration; 	Contents: Purpose of this guidance Wy regulaters reacts: Environments have conditions that require operators to control publicitors involution
Advice on how to manage noise – in particular, how to carry out a noise impact assessment and what operators should include in a noise management plan.	When a hole assessment is more bit in solar second more bit in the solar second more bit in the second more bit in the solar second more bit in the second more bit in the bit in the solar second more bit in the bit in the bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the solar second more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in the more bit in the bit in
	Vibration impact assessments assessment and what operators should include in a noise management plan







RICARDO Noise and Odour Monitoring and Compliance Key Stages of the Investigation and Management of Noise – Basic Approach IQQI **Identify** What sources? Where are they? Quantify Determine levels, Characterise noise (frequency, event noise, impact, etc), Durations? Qualify Understand activity and need for the process / action Determine sensitive receptors (not just residential) Topography, context Impact Standards? Other regulatory controls





 Need permit conditions to require monitoring, assessment, notification, mitigation and recording.

RICARDO -MAQ+ **Noise and Odour Monitoring and Compliance Noise Compliance Monitoring** The BS4142: 2014 assessment methodology involves the following procedure: measure the background (LA90,T) sound level, in the absence of the noise source, at the noise sensitive receptors (if possible); measure the sound levels attributable to the noise source of concern at the sensitive receptor as an LAeq,T (using the procedures set out in the standard); correct the noise levels for duration and acoustic features (including ambient correction where necessary) as outlined in the standard, to produce the rating level (LAar,T). (The correction for tonal, impulsive or any distinctive character in the noise source is a maximum of +18dB); • assess the potential impact by subtracting the measured background noise level from the rating level; • Provide CONTEXT. 13





 Is the equipment serviced and maintained in accordance with manufacturer's instructions / written procedures required by permit conditions? In accordance with permit condition and documented working procedures



d Odour Monitorin	g an	d Compl	iance	
nplaints Investigation	וס ר - Fo	rm		
Noise complaint report form Name and address of complainant	Date:	Ref. No.		
Tel no. of complainant Time and date of complaint Date, time and duration of offending noise				
Weather conditions (e.g., dry, rain, fog, snow)				
Wind strength and direction (e.g.,light, steady, strong, gusting)				
Complainant's description of noise (e.g., hiss, hum, rumble, continuous, intermittent)				
Has complainant any other comments about the offending noise?				
Any other previous known complaints relating to installation (all aspects, not just noise)				
Potential noise sources that could				
give rise to the complaint Operating conditions at the time				
offending noise occurred (e.g., flow rate, pressure at inlet and pressure at outlet)				
Einal outcome:				
		Company 1		
Form completed by		Signea		



- vibration isolation mounts;
- vibration damping;
- impact deadening.
- Etc, etc, etc.....



Example Permitted Activity Odour Management Plan – The Key Pathways								
Odour source	Receptor	Pathway	Risk management / BAT for odour control	Probability of exposure	Consequence	What is the overall risk?		
Dryer emission stack	Industrial and residential in the vicinity of the site	Air	Good management Control using an odour abatement plant – initially based on caustic/ hypochlorite scrubber	Low	Odour annoyance without adequate abatement	Not significant with effective controls in place		
Excursion through doors louvers etc	Impact within site boundary	Air	Good process management Good housekeeping	Low	Small impact within site boundary	Not significant		
Tanks, skips and skip handling area	Impact within site boundary	Air	Good process management Good housekeeping	Low	Small impact within site boundary	Not significant		







Noise and Odour Monitoring and Compliance



Odour Measurement

Knowing what substances are in the emission can be useful to assess whether BAT is being applied:

- pollutant analysis if applicable, using GC/MS, colorimetric tubes, etc;
- Example data from original abatement system.

Analysis of GCMS	Inlet	Outlet	Odour	Inlet	Outlet
	(#88447				
)	(#88449)	threshold value		
	mg/m ³	mg/m ³	mg/m ³	ou _E /m3	ou _E /m ³
Methyl mercaptan	1.10	0.00	0.0021	524	0
Trimethylamine	56.00	3.10	0.0059	9,492	525
Ethanol	18.00	1.40	55	0	0
Isopropanol	1.30	0.19	26	0	0
1-Propanol	5.30	0.40	6	1	0
2-Methyl propanal	3.20	0.35	0.12	27	3
3-Methylbutanal	5.60	0.37	0.0081	691	46
1-Butanol	1.70	0.14	1.5	1	0
2-Methylbutanal	5.40	0.39	0.00054	10,000	722
Dimethyl disulphide	0.67	0.12	0.048	14	3
2-Nonanone	1.50	0.00	0.23	7	0
Nonanal	1.10	0.09	0.013	85	7.



Noise and O	dour Monitoring and Co	ompliance	RICARDO EMAQ+
Odour Measur	ement - Exposure Criterion		
Set approp guidelines.	priate targets e.g. compare p Link to H4 Odour Managem	redictions against Environme ent Guidance <u>here</u>	ent Agency
	Relative offensiveness of odour	Indicative criterion of significant pollutionnote 2	
	More offensive odours: Activities involving putrescible wastes Processes involving animal or fish remains Brickworks Creamery Fat ft Grease Processing Waste water treatment Oil refining Livestock feed Factory	1.5 OU ₄ /m ³ (1.0 OUE /m ³) ^{vote 3}	
	Odours which do not obviously fall within a high or low category: Intensive Livestock rearing Fat Frying (food processing) Sugar Beet Processing	3 $OU_{\rm f}/m^3$ (2.5 OUE $/m^3)^{\rm note \ 3}$	
	Less offensive odours (but not inoffensive): Chocolate Manufacture Brewery Confectionary Fragrance and Flavourings Coffee Roasting Bakery	6 OUr/m ³ (5.5 OUE /m ³) ^{note 3}	QA D
	Note 1: Reference: EA H4 Guidance Appendix 6. Note 2: Odour Units (OUE) as 98th percentile of hourly averages. Note 3: Local adjustment for hypersensitive populations (odour generated a hi	igh level of complaint) - Reference: EA H4 Guidance Appendix 6.	

Imple Permitted Activity ting Appropriate Target	/ S	
blying the criteria to our example		
ver based on 295,700 ou_{E}/s	s [Test 2] additional odour at	atement is required [
wever based on 295,700 ou _e / 0,000/295,700) = 66%].	s [Test 2] additional odour ab	patement is required max
/ever based on 295,700 ou _E /-),000/295,700) = 66%]. Farget odour exposure criterion:	s [Test 2] additional odour ab Nearest receptor 1.5 ou _E / m ³	max 1.5 ou _E / m ³
wever based on 295,700 ou _E /. 0,000/295,700) = 66%]. Target odour exposure criterion:	s [Test 2] additional odour ab Nearest receptor 1.5 ou _E / m ³ Current Discharge condition	max 1.5 ou _E / m ³



<section-header> What techniques could be considered? Example the should considered a range of options appropriate to the process sector. In the example, the BAT assessment considered: • Improved process control; • Thermal incineration as a stand alone process; • Biofiltration; • Carbon filtration as a stand alone process; • Combination of chemical scrubbing and carbon filtration; • UV/Ozone as a stand alone process; • Improved stack dispersion.





















Locations and nature of release (vent, area, fugitive).








oise and Odou	r Monitoring and Compliance
y Stages of the In	vestigation and Management of Odour
Identify	What sources? Where are they?
Quantify	Determine levels, Characterise odour (Odour Units, hedonic tone, concentrations), Durations, Masking?
Qualify	Understand activity and need for the process / action Determine sensitive receptors (not just residential) Topography
Impact	Standards? Opinions Other regulatory controls

Γ

RICARDO Noise and Odour Monitoring and Compliance EMAQ+ **Complaints - Odour incident investigation** Incident Report Form This requires · Prompt remedial action, investigating and reporting actual or potential non-compliance with operating procedures or emission limits. · Investigation of incidents, (including any malfunction, breakdown or failure of plant, equipment or techniques, down time, any short term and long term remedial measures and near misses) and prompt implementation of appropriate actions. · Detailed records are made of all such actions and investigations.

Noise and Odour Monitor	ing and Compliance	RICARDO EMAQ+
Complaint - Handling Presented in the presentation for a complaint of the second presentation to record: when the se	Aint. b, what, where, when! <u>3. Action Taken</u> Pase star with imaddies actor has been taken to deriven the enquiry <u>Person constante:</u> Department of person <u>constante</u> Define onto: <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u> <u>constante</u>	
What type of completer? (is protect split at ensistence observations, physical matters product completer?", other) ""Grad product completions, where is 50° 200641 and contact Shift GA1 another member of OA dependence	Please scan and email or post a copy of this form to The HSE Department as soon as possible	10 M H / 10

Г









Session 6: Demonstrating and Checking Compliance Case Study

This material is provided on the understanding it is for self-study/training purposes only and may not be copied, stored, transmitted or displayed for the purpose of any trade or business.

> **RICARDO** EMAQ+

Contents

- Permit monitoring conditions.
- Auditing emission monitoring : Accreditation and competence, Method implementation, Other check points
- Reporting.
- Data standardisation: Definitions, Requirements.
- Uncertainty and compliance for emission data.
- A report check list.





Demonstrating and Checking Compliance Case Study



Permit Monitoring Conditions

It is essential that the permit conditions regarding monitoring and reporting are comprehensive and clear. They normally include:

 The requirement for specific pollutants to be monitored, the permitted emission limit value, type of monitoring (continuous or periodic) the frequency of monitoring (if periodic), the accepted monitoring methodology (isokinetic / BS);

Pollutant	Emission Limit Value	Frequency and Type of Monitoring	Standard
Particulate matter	50mg/m ³	Non-continuous Isokinetic, every 12 months	BS EN 13284-1:2002 and/or BS ISO 9096:2003

- The standard conditions which monitored emission concentration limits shall be corrected to and reported as, i.e. 273.15K, 101.3kPa measured without correction for water vapour;
- The minimum time period and number of samples required and the activity is "operating normally";
- Notification provided to the regulator in advance of monitoring date, arrangements and protocols;
- Report of monitoring to be received by the regulator within a stated time period after on site monitoring is complete;
- Use the PG / SG / BAT Conclusions to ensure that ALL necessary monitoring requirements are conditioned in the permit.



Demonstrating and Checking Compliance Case Study	ARDO AQ+
Summary of Monitoring Auditing Check Points	
• Has a reasonable sampling strategy been formulated? MCERTS-accredited testhouses are required to produce a Site Specific Protocol (SSP) or measurement plan in advance of testing – this sets out aims of testing, details of test methods, applicable ELVs and other information and requirements.	
Has the SSP been approved by the operator. Has SSP been provided to the regulator in advance?	
Has the correct standard method been chosen to suit the phase, size and expected concentration of the target species?	



RICARDO EMAQ+ **Demonstrating and Checking Compliance Case Study** Schedule of Accreditation Auditing the Permitted Activity - Accreditation United Kingdom Accreditation Service 2 Pine Trees, Chertsey Lane, Staines-upon-Thames, TW18 3HR, UK Is the contractor accredited for the testing being Ricardo-AEA Limited (Trading as Ricardo Energy & undertaken? Environment Issue No:006 Issue date: 25 November 2016 DETAIL OF ACCREDITATION Contact: Dr Nigel Gibs do Energy & Enviror The Ge Tel: +44 (0)1235 753609 ding Fermi Av E-Mail: nigel.gibson@ric: Website: www.ricardo-ae Materials/Products tested Standard specifications/ Location Type of test/Properties measured/Range of Equipment/Techniques OX11 OQR ISO/IEC 17025:2005 Code measurement used Testing performed by the Organi ation at the locations spe Locations covered by the organisation and their relevant activitie AMBIENT AIR Sensory Tests Documented In-House A PROCESS AIR Procedures AEA/ENV/WI/45.07 based Air quality - Determination of Activity ocation code odour concentration by on EN 13725:2003 Local contact Dr Nigel Gibson Ricardo Energy & En The Gemini Building dynamic olfactometry Tel: +44 (0)1235 753609 Email: nigel.gibson@ricardo aea.com Harwell OX11 0QR END Address Ricardo Er Local contact Dr N Gibson Sensory Tests Air Quality ast H Tel: +44 (0)1235 753609 Email: nigel.gibson@ricar aea.com Wantage OX12 8LN





Demonstrating and Checking Co	mpliance Case Study
Auditing the Permitted Activity - Accreditation	
Does team leader have a certificate of proficiency?	Personnel Competency Certificate June Bits to certify that Personnel Competency to the required standard of Bits the certify that the required standard of Decent Competency to the required standard of Bits the certify that the required standard of Bits the certify that the required standard of Bits the certify that the required standard of Bits that the required standard of the certify that the required standard of Bits that the required standard of the certificate the required standard of Bits that the required standard of the certificate the required standard of Bits that the required standard of the required standard of Bits the required standard of the required standard of Bits the required standard of the required standard o
Competency Level: Valid until: Level 2 20/11/2007 Technical Endorsements held: Sirganare 3 See Cart. for details of validay & scope. Sara Cartification Service South HIC, Todenties, Kene, BIT 25H ■ 202 IB463 1642 Centification Barrier.co.uk.	Interviewer evolutioning by Lackmetter Sampung Techniques The contract evolution of the spectrate control work work when the should a 3-were go of the spectrate control work work work when the should be a 3-were go of the spectrate control work work work work work work work work



iting the Permitted Activity -	Take 2				
Inetence	ations the future man y	to site befor	to Take 2 introduce to do a rese assess buttler shicl what you will do to restau	e the risk of	e pour start any o an unclease onto
potonioo	CHAT I LOOK			Date:	
and the second state of th	General Site Hazanta	1970	DETAILS	A-107	RECOMMENT
sate working practice	Working at Italans	W 2 10	Parrent tax Wilson	YIN	Provide Salary
01	and the second s	103.00	othersteining restort		Barrier of in
a baan addrogood?	Total Color	-	Stars Vertical Lasters	214	
S Deen addressed?			Other Laditors	Y/N	
	Platform	YON	Dermanand	Y IN	
	and service and the service of the s		Suaffag	Y.1.14	-
	Linning Pasmone	Y774	Geer C CK C Peer C		Rope
No. And Statements	1 Annual Contraction of the Cont		Cadicated position with hotal	Sen .	Putery
A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL PROPER	Hot Surfaces	W.C.W.	- dentify	VIN	PPE
	Aktive	YIM	fabilitie and took off acepty		PPE .
	Moving Machinery	41.24.	isolate and lock off supply	CYIN.	Harrield Cia
	CONCEPTION STATEMENT	7.1.01	Customer materials	YIN	COSHRA
					PPE
A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC	Planables/Explosives	WIN:	AEAT respects/gases	N / 14	COStant A
	the second s		- Lowestormer maneriare .	- servere	PPE
	Intrinsically Safe Area	WIN.	US Equipment	YIN.	OPPE -
Statistical Association of the second statement of the	And the party of the second se		Hot Work Permit	T / N4	1202
	Hasardnus Amonacours	Y 2 Mg	Test atmosphere	V.I.N.	inite .
	Contraction of the second second second	12242	Wentilate and/or purpe	YIN	a series and
			Stand-by person	Y / N	
	Electrical Equipment				Aramon
	Weather Conditions	Alle.	KVING .	421	PIPE
			C. C. Martin		
			- They are to a	N.1.16	













Demonstrating and Checking Compliance Case Study



RICARDO

EMAQ+

Method Implementation

Are meters calibration and certificates still valid?

Check dates.



17

Demonstrating and Checking Compliance Case Study

Method Implementation

Is isokinetic / multi point sampling being carried out for particulate species? Make visual checks and check records





Images © Ricardo





Demonstrating and Checking Compliance Case Study



Method Implementation

Is equipment clean and correctly assembled?

Make visual checks on site.



21

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><image><image>



<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text>





Demonstrating and Checking Compliance Case Study



Method Implementation

Check requirements in the British Standard EN 15259 for position of stack monitoring ports and port specification. Also see, GOV.UK "Monitoring stack emissions: measurement locations.



Images © Ricardo



<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

```
29
```







RICARDO EMAQ+



33

Demonstrating and Checking Compliance Case Study

Report

The emission monitoring report should include

- Summary.
- Introduction.
- Methodology.
- Results.
- Conclusion.
- References.
- Detailed Calculations.





















- Pressure:
- Moisture;
- Oxygen.

Example condition The concentrations of the substances listed in condition (2.4) shall be expressed at reference conditions, 273K, 101.3kPa, without correction for water vapour content and the results of the monitoring shall be expressed in milligrams per cubic metre (mg.m⁻³).





















							RICARL
Severe was tracting as an all Oly a site		0	01	والم وال	_		
Jemonstrating and Uneck	inc	i Compliance Case	5	ua	V		
					,		
	No	Criteria or question to be satisfied	Acceptal	bility		Comment]
A Useful Renort Check List			Full	Part	No		
Costin Report Official Eloc	1.0	SUMMARY					
	1.1	Is there a summary?		_	-		-
	1.2	Does it highlight ony non-compliances?	_	-	-		-
	2.0	INTRODUCTION	_	-	+		-
state of the second	2.1	Is there an introduction?					1
ony is available on the	2.2	Does it clearly identify the process and operator?]
sopy is available of the	2.3	Does it identify who carried out the monitoring work?					
	2.4	Doos it identify when the work was corried out?			+		4
MA() web site.	2.4	Is the number of the work clearly stated? i.e. demonstration compliance		-	-		-
	2.0	to the purpose of the work occurry stated. I.e. demonstrating compilance			1		
	2.6	Is the appropriate PG note referenced?					
	2.7	Is the number of emission points to be tested clearly stated?					
	2.0	Are these electricited?		-	-		-
	2.0	Are the species to be monitored clearly stated?	_	-	-		-
	2.10	Does it identify who carried out the analysis?			-		-
	3.0	EXPERIMENTAL			-		1
	3.1	Is there an experimental or methodology section?					
	3.2	Does this give a description of the process(es) tested?					
	0.0	To advantage and do not her diffe		-	-		-
	3.3	Is abatement described? Is condensing stack condition assessed a growth something?		-	-		-
	0.4	is concensing state container assessed e.g. we solutioning.			1		
	3.5	Are all the sampling positions clearly described?					
	3.6	Are sampling and analysis methods described or referenced?					1
	0.7	Mines also dead with a large diff.	-	-	-		4
	3.7	Were standard methods used? Were any deviations being proposed?	-		+		4
	3.9	Are deviations clearly described and justified?	-	-	+	-	1
	3.10	Are any "in-house" methods used fully described?	1	1	1	1	1
	3.11	Are all methods acceptable for use?					1
	3.12	Does the contractor have a quality system?					-
	3.13	Are they accredited for flue gas sampling (eg UKAS)?					
	3.14	Does their accreditation cover all sampled species?	+	+	+		4
			1	1	1	1	1
	3.15	Are they accredited for analysis?					1
	3.16	Do the sampling team members have proficiency credentials?					
	2.17	In the present design and authorized throughoutlanduation in a state of		_			-
	3.17	is the process design and authorised throughput/production load stated?		1	1		
	3.18	Is a description of the feed material or fuels given?	-	+	+		

Demonstrating and Checking Compliance Case Study



			-	-		
	4.0	RESULTS	-			
A Usoful Boport Chock List	No.	Criteria crossition to be satisfied	Accentabi	ilv.		Comment
A USEIUI REDUIT CHECK LIST			Full	Part	No	
	4.2	Are the actual process throughouts/loads stated?	-			
	4.3	Are these close enough to design to constitute a valid test?	+			
			1			
	4.4	Are gas flow rates, temperatures and gas conditions at sampling points clearly summarised?				
Convis available on the	4.5	Is a visual assessment of the plume included?				
copy is available of the	4.6	Are pollutant concentrations and emission rates clearly summarised?				
	4.7	Are test dates and times stated?				
EIVIAQ web site.	4.8	Are measurement uncertainties given for each pollutant?				
	4.9	Are results referenced to the correct conditions?				
	5.0	DISCUSSION				
	5.1	Is there a discussion section?				
	5.2	Are there any issues raised that might affect the validity of the results?				
	5.3	Were the BS 3405 positional requirements met?				
	5.4	Was a velocity/temperature profile undertaken?				
	5.5	Did this comply with minimum requirements				
	5.6	If particle phase tested, were main requirements of BS 3405 met?				
	5.7	Were there other issues that should have been raised?				
	5.8	Are results compared with authorisation limits?				
	5.9	Are implications for compliance/non-compliance stated?				
	6.0	APPENDICES				
	6.1	Is there an appendices section?				
	6.2	Are there any diagrams of plant layout and sampling positions?				
	6.3	Are the diagrams acceptable?				
	6.4	Do they raise any new questions on suitability of sampling position, or sampling strategy followed				
	6.5	Is plant operator data given?				
	6.6	Is detailed temperature/flow profile given?				
	6.7	Is this compliant with BS 3405?	-			
	6.8	Are the key sampling parameters and readings summarised?				
	6.9	Are calculations given in full?				
	6.10	Have any spreadsheets been used?	-			
	6.11	Are these transparent and auditable?		L	-	
	6.12	Are spreadsmen ripus, calculations and outputs presented in tur?				
	6.13	Are uncertainties stated?	-	<u> </u>		
	6.14	Have standard approaches been rollowed?	+		-	
	6.15	is average moisure content given?		<u> </u>		

